

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/651,854	MORAN, DOUGLAS B	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) William James.

**Date of Interview:** 1 March 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Non-Final Rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:00 PM EST

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
21,22,25-28

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner communicated that all art objections had been overcome, but asked for a terminal disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,826,697. Attorney James stated that one had been mailed.*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)